#### Supplementary material

**Title**: Socioeconomic inequalities in the risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variants in United Kingdom, 2020-22

**Authors:** Cameron Razieh<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Sharmin Shabnam<sup>1,3</sup>, Hajira Dambha-Miller<sup>4</sup>, Eva JA Morris<sup>5</sup>, Tom Yates<sup>1,2</sup>, Yogini V Chudasama<sup>1,3</sup>, Francesco Zaccardi<sup>1,3</sup>, Clare L Gillies<sup>1,3</sup>, Amitava Banerjee<sup>6</sup>, Manish Pareek<sup>7,8</sup>, Ben Lacey<sup>5</sup>, Martin White<sup>9</sup>, Kamlesh Khunti<sup>1,3</sup> Nazrul Islam<sup>4,5</sup>

- 1. Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, LE5 4PW, UK.
- 2. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leicester Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, LE5 4PW, UK.
- 3. Leicester Real World Evidence Unit, Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK.
- 4. Primary Care Research Centre, University of Southampton
- 5. Nuffield Department of Population Health, Big Data Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
- 6. Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, London, UK
- 7. Department of Respiratory Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
- 8. Department of Infection and HIV Medicine, University Hospitals Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK
- 9. MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, UK

### Table of Contents

Supplementary Table 1. Count and crude percentage of participants testing compatible with the Delta and Omicron variant, by IMD deciles and occupation sector
Supplementary Table 2. Crude incidence rate of testing compatible with the Delta variant by IMD, and by IMD decile and sex
Supplementary Table 3. Crude incidence rate of testing compatible with the Omicron variant by IMD decile, and by IMD decile and sex
Supplementary Table 4. Association between deprivation and Delta and Omicron variant using multilevel Poisson regression model and random-effects at country level.
Supplementary Table 5. Association between deprivation and Delta and Omicron variant using multilevel Poisson regression model and second-order polynomial for age and time variables7
Supplementary Figure 1. Occupational groups or employment sectors in the survey questionnaire8
Supplementary Figure 2. Association between deprivation and Delta variant9
Supplementary Figure 3. Association between deprivation and Omicron variant

	Delta cohort (02.07.2020–19.12.2021)								
IMD	Manufacturing and construction		Hea	Healthcare		Retail		Teaching and education	
	Total N	Positive n (%)	Total N	Positive n (%)	Total N	Positive n (%)	Total N	Positive n (%)	
1 (most deprived)	966	90 (9.3)	1225	95 (7.8)	1097	83 (7.6)	1098	93 (8.5)	
2	1579	111 (7.0)	1846	104 (5.6)	1422	103 (7.2)	1861	140 (7.5)	
3	1769	144 (8.1)	2223	110 (4.9)	1519	75 (4.9)	2433	197 (8.1)	
4	2127	142 (6.7)	2452	131 (5.3)	1675	92 (5.5)	2793	214 (7.7)	
5	2424	168 (6.9)	2750	145 (5.3)	1708	95 (5.6)	3112	245 (7.9)	
6	2656	163 (6.1)	2844	132 (4.6)	1828	109 (6.0)	3535	279 (7.9)	
7	2660	156 (5.9)	3167	154 (4.9)	1842	112 (6.1)	3586	258 (7.2)	
8	3052	191 (6.3)	3292	166 (5.0)	1878	120 (6.4)	4060	307 (7.6)	
9	3149	228 (7.2)	3497	145 (4.1)	1955	115 (5.9)	4334	348 (8.0)	
10 (least deprived)	2981	185 (6.2)	3552	178 (5.0)	1794	110 (6.1)	4550	324 (7.1)	
		Omicron cohort (on or after 20.12.2021)							
IMD	Manufacturing and construction		Healthcare		Retail		Teaching and education		
	Total N	Positive n (%)	Total N	Positive n (%)	Total N	Positive n (%)	Total N	Positive n (%)	
1 (most deprived)	759	60 (7.9)	920	80 (8.7)	841	61 (7.3)	867	68 (7.8)	
2	1189	93 (7.8)	1360	95 (7.0)	987	76 (7.7)	1465	111 (7.6)	
3	1322	77 (5.8)	1621	94 (5.8)	1135	70 (6.2)	1914	139 (7.3)	
4	1590	101 (6.4)	1873	139 (7.4)	1264	62 (4.9)	2192	128 (5.8)	
5	1844	108 (5.9)	2097	123 (5.9)	1293	82 (6.3)	2553	161 (6.3)	
6	2010	126 (6.3)	2221	137 (6.2)	1368	75 (5.5)	2910	191 (6.6)	
7	2114	101 (4.8)	2449	130 (5.3)	1424	65 (4.6)	2956	168 (5.7)	
8	2400	135 (5.6)	2585	128 (5.0)	1458	80 (5.5)	3377	204 (6.0)	
9	2447	150 (6.1)	2778	167 (6.0)	1464	77 (5.3)	3639	209 (5.7)	
10 (least deprived)	2379	153 (6.4)	2836	150 (5.3)	1394	76 (5.5)	3829	191 (5.0)	

**Supplementary Table 1.** Count and crude percentage of participants testing compatible with the Delta and Omicron variant, by IMD deciles and occupation sector.

**Supplementary Table 2**. Crude incidence rate of testing compatible with the Delta variant by IMD, and by IMD decile and sex.

	Incidence Rate per 1000 person months (95% CI)				
IMD, deciles	Overall	Male	Female		
1 (most deprived)	4.33 (4.09, 4.58)	4.46 (4.10, 4.84)	4.22 (3.91, 4.56)		
2	3.57 (3.39, 3.76)	3.49 (3.23, 3.78)	3.63 (3.39, 3.89)		
3	3.52 (3.36, 3.69)	3.81 (3.55, 4.07)	3.28 (3.07, 3.51)		
4	3.41 (3.26, 3.57)	3.47 (3.24, 3.71)	3.35 (3.15, 3.57)		
5	3.31 (3.17, 3.46)	3.50 (3.28, 3.73)	3.16 (2.97, 3.36)		
6	3.32 (3.18, 3.47)	3.58 (3.36, 3.80)	3.11 (2.93, 3.30)		
7	3.22 (3.08, 3.36)	3.24 (3.05, 3.46)	3.20 (3.02, 3.39)		
8	3.24 (3.11, 3.38)	3.37 (3.17 <i>,</i> 3.57)	3.14 (2.97, 3.32)		
9	3.34 (3.21, 3.47)	3.60 (3.40, 3.81)	3.12 (2.95, 3.30)		
10 (least deprived)	3.18 (3.05, 3.31)	3.27 (3.09, 3.47)	3.09 (2.93, 3.27)		

**Supplementary Table 3**. Crude incidence rate of testing compatible with the Omicron variant by IMD decile, and by IMD decile and sex.

	Incidence Rate per 1000 person months (95% CI)					
IMD, deciles	Overall	Male	Female			
1 (most deprived)	76.67 (71.60, 82.11)	79.45 (71.78, 87.93)	74.50 (67.89, 81.74)			
2	74.11 (69.82, 78.66)	76.56 (70.07, 83.65)	72.19 (66.60, 78.25)			
3	65.41 (61.72, 69.33)	65.95 (60.44, 71.97)	64.99 (60.12, 70.25)			
4	67.53 (64.02, 71.24)	68.15 (62.90, 73.84)	67.05 (62.40, 72.04)			
5	64.53 (61.24, 67.99)	68.50 (63.43, 73.98)	61.47 (57.24, 66.01)			
6	61.90 (58.84, 65.12)	59.99 (55.52, 64.82)	63.42 (59.30, 67.82)			
7	55.23 (52.42, 58.20)	55.27 (51.07, 59.81)	55.21 (51.48, 59.20)			
8	59.99 (57.14, 62.99)	59.67 (55.45, 64.20)	60.26 (56.45, 64.33)			
9	58.03 (55.31 <i>,</i> 60.89)	58.73 (54.68, 63.08)	57.47 (53.86, 61.33)			
10 (least deprived)	54.52 (51.93 <i>,</i> 57.24)	54.55 (50.74, 58.65)	54.49 (51.02, 58.20)			

**Supplementary Table 4.** Association between deprivation and Delta and Omicron variant using multilevel Poisson regression model and random-effects at country level.

IMD, deciles	Delta cohort; N=329,356		Omicron cohort; N=246,061		
	IRR (95% CI)	P value	IRR (95% CI)	P value	
1 (most deprived)	1.37 (1.33, 1.42)	<0.0001	1.34 (1.31, 1.37)	<0.0001	
2	1.18 (1.13, 1.23)	<0.0001	1.28 (1.24, 1.32)	<0.0001	
3	1.19 (1.12, 1.26)	<0.0001	1.14 (1.11, 1.18)	<0.0001	
4	1.12 (1.07, 1.17)	<0.0001	1.21 (1.17, 1.25)	<0.0001	
5	1.10 (1.03, 1.17)	0.003	1.19 (1.15, 1.22)	<0.0001	
6	1.09 (1.05, 1.13)	<0.0001	1.15 (1.09, 1.22)	<0.0001	
7	1.07 (1.06, 1.08)	<0.0001	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	0.001	
8	1.07 (1.05, 1.09)	<0.0001	1.12 (1.07, 1.18)	<0.0001	
9	1.09 (1.07, 1.10)	<0.0001	1.08 (1.06, 1.1)	<0.0001	
10 (least deprived)	Reference				

IRR = incident rate ratio

\*Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, urban/rural, comorbid conditions, household size, patient/clientfacing nature of the job, and time (as the quarter of the year) in the multilevel Poisson regression model using random-effects at country level.

IMD, deciles	Delta cohort; N=3	329,356	Omicron cohort; N=246,061		
	IRR (95% CI)	P value	IRR (95% CI)	P value	
1 (most deprived)	1.39 (1.30, 1.48)	<0.0001	1.34 (1.23, 1.45)	<0.0001	
2	1.19 (1.12, 1.27)	<0.0001	1.27 (1.18, 1.37)	<0.0001	
3	1.21 (1.14, 1.28)	<0.0001	1.14 (1.05, 1.22)	0.001	
4	1.13 (1.07, 1.20)	<0.0001	1.20 (1.12, 1.29)	<0.0001	
5	1.11 (1.05, 1.17)	<0.0001	1.18 (1.10, 1.27)	<0.0001	
6	1.10 (1.04, 1.16)	<0.0001	1.15 (1.07, 1.23)	<0.0001	
7	1.07 (1.02, 1.13)	0.007	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	0.336	
8	1.07 (1.02, 1.13)	0.008	1.12 (1.05, 1.20)	0.001	
9	1.09 (1.04, 1.14)	0.001	1.07 (1.00, 1.15)	0.037	
10 (least deprived)	Reference				

## **Supplementary Table 5.** Association between deprivation and Delta and Omicron variant using multilevel Poisson regression model and second-order polynomial for age and time variables.

IRR = incident rate ratio

\*Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, urban/rural, comorbid conditions, household size, patient/clientfacing nature of the job, and time (as the quarter of the year) in the multilevel Poisson regression model using second-order polynomial for age and time variables.

#### **Supplementary Figure 1**. Occupational groups or employment sectors in the survey questionnaire.

3. If currently working at all: Has your main job/business change	ged since we last spoke to you? □ Yes □ No					
If no, and not currently working, go to Section B. Otherwise, go to A6.						
If yes: (a) What is your job title in your main job/business now?						
(b) And in this job/business, what do you mainly do now?						
(c) Which of these employment sectors do you work i	(c) Which of these employment sectors do you work in now? (select one)					
Teaching and education	Health care (go to A4)					
Social care (go to A5)	Transport (incl. storage, logistic)					
Retail sector (incl. wholesale)	Hospitality (e.g. hotel, restaurant, cafe)					
Food production and agriculture (incl. farming)	Personal services (e.g. hairdressers, tattooists)					
Information technology and communication	Financial services (incl. insurance)					
Manufacturing or construction	Civil service or Local Government					
Armed forces	Arts, entertainment or recreation					
Other employment sector, specify	(go to A6 if not now working in Health or Social care)					

# IMD, deciles Delta IRR (95% Cl) N 1 (most deprived) 1.36 (1.27, 1.46) 16125

Supplementary Figure 2. Association between deprivation and Delta variant.

1 (most deprived)			1.36 (1.27, 1.46) 1.37 (1.29, 1.47)	16125		
2		-	1.12 (1.05, 1.20) 1.18 (1.11, 1.25)	22743		
3			1.11 (1.04, 1.18) 1.19 (1.13, 1.26)	27472		
4			1.07 (1.01, 1.14) 1.12 (1.06, 1.18)	30917		
5			1.04 (0.98, 1.11) 1.10 (1.04, 1.16)	33150		
6	<b>⊷</b>		1.05 (0.99, 1.11) 1.09 (1.03, 1.15)	36364		
7			1.01 (0.96, 1.07) 1.07 (1.02, 1.13)	37529		
8			1.02 (0.96, 1.08) 1.07 (1.01, 1.13)	39855		
9		⊷ Unadjusted ⊷ Adjusted	1.05 (0.99, 1.11) 1.09 (1.03, 1.14)	42002		
10 (least deprived)	¢ •		Reference	43199		
	1.0 1	1.25 1.5				
	Incidence Rate Ratio					

\*Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, urban/rural, comorbid conditions, household size, patient/clientfacing nature of the job, country, and time (as quarters of the year).

#### IMD, deciles Omicron IRR (95% CI) Ν 1.41 (1.30, 1.53) 1 (most deprived) 11833 1.34 (1.24, 1.46) 1.36 (1.26, 1.46) 16378 2 1.28 (1.19, 1.38) 1.20 (1.11, 1.29) 19583 3 1.14 (1.06, 1.23) 1.24 (1.15, 1.33) 22324 4 1.21 (1.13, 1.30) 1.18 (1.10, 1.27) 24469 5 1.19 (1.11, 1.27) 1.14 (1.06, 1.22) 27120 6 1.15 (1.08, 1.23) 1.01 (0.94, 1.09) 7 28406 1.04 (0.97, 1.11) 1.10 (1.03, 1.18) 8 30331 1.12 (1.05, 1.20) 🙌 Unadjusted 1.06 (1.00, 1.14) 9 H Adjusted 32165 1.08 (1.01, 1.15) 10 (least deprived) Reference 33452 1.0 1.25 1.5 Incidence Rate Ratio

#### Supplementary Figure 3. Association between deprivation and Omicron variant.

\*Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, urban/rural, comorbid conditions, household size, patient/clientfacing nature of the job, country, and time (as quarters of the year).